

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/779,331 02/08/01 AGOSTON Gi 43170-253406 Г **EXAMINER** HM12/0914 KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP QAZI.S ATTN: SUZANNE SEAVELLO SHOPE **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER SUITE 2800 -1100 PEACHTREE STREET 1616 ATLANTA GA 30309-4530 DATE MAILED: 09/14/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

. *	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/779,331	Agoston et al.
,	Examiner Sabiha Q	Art Unit azi 1616
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) displayed be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after according to the provider of the period for the polymerost. 	inication. ays, a reply within the sta ory period will apply and w , by statute, cause the ap	itutory minimum of thirty (30) days will vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this plication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 8</u> ,	2001	·
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This a	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under Ex		
Disposition of Claims		
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>		is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)		is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s)		is/are rejected.
7)		js/are objected to.
8) 💢 Claims <u>1-10</u>	are sub	ject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers		
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/s	are objected to by the	Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□	\square approved b) \square disapproved.
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) All b) Some* c) None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority documents h Certified copies of the priority documents h 		Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority		
application from the International Bu	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	a)).

Attachment(s)

5) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	
---	--

18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

20) Other:

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Application/Control Number: 09/779,331 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-9, drawn to compounds, classified in class 552, subclass 625, 626, 627 and others.
 - II. Claim 10, drawn to method of use, classified in class 514, subclass 169, 182.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of group I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

*

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from the elected group for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 1 and 10 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Application/Control Number: 09/779,331

Art Unit: 1616

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Attorney Suzane S. Scope on 10/13/01 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Ms. Scope requested to send in writing.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventor ship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventor ship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sabiha N. Qazi, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3910. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 6 p.m. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Sabiha N. Qazi, Ph.D.

S. Om

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1616

9/13/01